Indexing and Searching

Introduction

How to retrieval information?

 A simple alternative is to search the whole text sequentially

Another option is to build data structures over the text (called *indices*) to speed up the search

Introduction

Indexing techniques:
 Inverted files
 Suffix arrays
 Signature files

Notation

n: the size of the text *m*: the length of the pattern
v: the size of the vocabulary *M*: the amount of main memory available

Inverted Files

an inverted file is a word-oriented mechanism for indexing a text collection

- an inverted file is composed of vocabulary and the occurrences
 - occurrences set of lists of the text positions where the word appears

Searching

The search algorithm on an inverted index follows three steps:

- Overabulary search: the words present in the query are searched in the vocabulary
- ORetrieval occurrences: the lists of the occurrences of all words found are retrieved
- Manipulation of occurrences: the occurrences are processed to solve the query

Searching

- Searching task on an inverted file always starts in the vocabulary (It is better to store the vocabulary in a separate file)
- The structures most used to store the vocabulary are hashing, tries or B-trees
- An alternative is simply storing the words in lexicographical order (cheaper in space and very competitive with O(log v) cost)

Construction

- building and maintaining an inverted index is a low cost task
- an inverted index on a text of n characters can be built in O(n) time
- all the vocabulary is kept in a trie data structure
- for each word, a list of its occurrences (test positions) is stored

Construction

each word of is read and searched in the vocabulary

Other Indices for Text

Suffix trees and suffix arraysSignature files

Suffix Trees and Suffix Arrays

Inverted indices assumes that the text can be seen as a sequence of words

- Restricts the kinds of queries that can be answered
- Other queries, ex. phrases, are expensive to solve

Problem: the concept of word does not exist in some applications, e.g. genetic database

Suffix Trees

• A suffix tree is

○a trie data structure

Obuilt over all the suffixes of the text

(pointers to the suffixes are stored at the leaf nodes)

Compacted into a Patricia tree, to improve space utilization

The tree has O(n) nodes instead of O(n²) nodes of the trie

Suffix Arrays

a space efficient implementation of suffix trees

- allow user to answer more complex queries efficiently
- main drawbacks
 - Costly construction process
 - Otext must be available at query time
 - Oresults are not delivered in text position order

Suffix Arrays

- Can be used to index only words as the inverted index (unless complex queries are important, inverted files performs better)
- a text suffix is a position in the text (each suffix is uniquely identified by its position)
- index points, which point to the beginning of the text positions, are selected from the text
- elements which are not indexed are not retrievable

Suffix Arrays: Structure

Suffix tree problem: space requirement

- Each node of the trie takes 12 to 24 bytes
- -> space overhead of 120% to 240% over the text size is produced

Suffix array is an array containing all the pointers to the text suffixes listed in lexicographical order

○requires much less space

Suffix Arrays: Structure

- are designed to allow binary searches (by comparing the contents of each pointer)
- for large suffix arrays, binary search can perform poorly (due to the number of random disk accesses)
- one solution is to use supra-indices over suffix array

Suffix Arrays: Searching

- basic pattern (ex. words, prefixes, and phrases) search on a suffix tree can be done in O(m) time by a simple trie search
- binary search on suffix arrays can be done in O(log n) time

- a suffix tree for a text of n characters can be built in O(n) time
- if the suffix tree does not fit in main memory, the algorithm performs poorly

an algorithm to build the suffix array in O(n log n) character comparisons

- suffixes are bucket-sorted in O(n) time according to the first letter only
- each bucket is bucket-sorted again according to the first two letters

- at iteration i the suffixes begin already sorted by their 2ⁱ⁻¹ first letters and end up sorted by their first 2ⁱ letters
- Oeach iteration, the total cost of all the bucket sorts is O(n), the total time is O(n log n), and the average is O(n log log n) (O(log n) comparisons are necessary on average to distinguish two suffixes of a text)

- Iarge text will not fit in main memory
- split large text into blocks that can be sorted in main memory
- build suffix array in main memory and merge it the the rest of the array already built for the previous text
- compute counters to store information of how many suffixes of the large text lie between each pair of positions of the suffix array

Signature Files

Signature files are word-oriented index structures based on hashing
low overhead (10%-20% over the text size)
forcing a sequential search over the index
suitable for not very large texts
Nevertheless, inverted files outperform signature files for most applications

Signature Files: Structure

- A signature file uses a hash function (or 'signature') that maps words to bit masks of B bits
- the text is devided in blocks of b words each
- to each text block of size b, a bit mask of size B is assigned
- this mask is obtained by bitwise ORing the signatures of all the words in the text block

Signature Files: Structure

- a signature file is the sequence of bit masks of all blocks (plus a pointer to each block)
- the main idea is:
 - if a word is present in a text block, then all the bits set in its signature are also set in the bit mask of the text block
 - false drop: all the corresponding bits are set even though the word is not in the block
- to ensure low probability of a false drop and to keep the signature file as short as possible

Signature Files: Searching

Searching a single word is done by

- 1. hashing that word to a bit mask W
- comparing the bit masks B_i of all the text blocks
- whenever (W & B_i = W), the text block may contain the word
- 4. online traversal must be performed on all the candidate text blocks to verify if the word is there

Signature Files: Searching

This scheme is efficient to search phrases and reasonable proximity queries

Signature Files: Construction

Constructing a signature file:

- 1. cut text into blocks
- 2. generate an entry of the signature file for each block
 - this entry is the bitwise OR of the signatures of all the words in the block

Adding text: adding records to the signature file Deleting text: deleting the appropriate bit masks

Signature Files: Construction

Storage proposals:

- 1. store all the bit masks in sequence
- 2. make a different file for each bit of the mask
 - (ex. one file holding all the first bits, another file for all the second bits)
 - result: the reduction in the disk times to search for a query
 - (only the files corresponding to the I bits, which are set in the query, have to be traversed)

Boolean Queries

- the set manipulation algorithms are used when operating on sets of results
- the search proceeds in three phases:
 - 1. determines which documents classify
 - 2. determines the relevance of the classifying documents (to present them to the user)
 - 3. retrieves the exact positions of the matches in those documents (that the user wants to see)

Sequential Searching

when no data structure has been built on the text

- 1. brute-force (bf) algorithm
- 2. Knuth-Morris-Pratt
- 3. Boyer-Moore Family
- 4. Shift-Or
- 5. Suffix Automaton
- 6. Practical Comparison
- 7. Phrases and Proximity

Brute Force (BF)

Trying all possible pattern positions in the text

- a window of length m is slid over the text
- if the text in the window is equal to the pattern, report as a match
- Shift the window forward
- worst-case is O(mn)
- average case is O(n)
- does not need any pattern preprocessing

Knuth-Morris-Pratt (KMP)

linear worst-case behavior O(2n)
 average case is not much faster than BF

 slide a window over the text
 does not try all window positions
 reuses information from previous checks

 the pattern is preprocessed in O(m) time

Boyer-Moore Family

- the check inside the window can proceed backwards
- for every pattern position j the next-to-last occurrence of P_{j.m} inside P called match heuristic

• occurrence heuristic: the text character that produced the mismatch has to be aligned with the same character in the pattern after the shift

Boyer-Moore Family

Proprocessing time and space of this algorithm: $O(m+\sigma)$

search time is O(n log (m)/m) on average

worst case is O(mn)

Shift-Or

- uses bit-parallelism to simulate the operation of a non-deterministic automaton that searches the pattern in the text
- builds a table B which stores a bit mask b_m...b₁ for each character
- update D using the formula

 $D' \leftarrow (D \leq 1) | B[T_j]$

• O(n) on average, preprocessing is O(m+ σ)

O(σ) space

Suffix Automaton

- The Backward DAWG matching (BDM) is based on a suffix automaton
- a suffix automaton on a pattern P is an automaton that recognizes all the suffixes of P
- the BDM algorithm converts a nondeterministic suffix automaton to deterministic

Suffix Automaton

the size and construction time is O(m)

- build a suffix automaton P^r (the reversed pattern) to search for pattern P
- a match is found if the complete window is read
- O(mn) time for worst case
- O(n log(m)/m) on average

Practical Comparison

- Figure 8.20 compares string matching algorithms on:
 - English text from the TREC collectionDNA
 - Orandom text uniformly generated over 64 letters
 - patterns were randomly selected from the text, except for random text, patterns are randomly generated

Phrases and Proximity

- if the sequence of words is searched as appear in text, the problem is similar to search of a single pattern
 - to search a phrase element-wise is to search for the element which is less frequent or can be searched faster, then check the neighboring words
 - same for proximity query search

Pattern Matching

Two main groups of techniques to deal with complex patterns:

- 1. searching allowing errors
- 2. searching for extended patterns

Searching Allowing Errors

Approximate string matching problem: given a short pattern P of length m, a long text T of length n, and a maximum allowed number of errors k, find all the text positions where the pattern occurs with at most k errors

The main approaches for solution: dynamic programming, automaton, bit-parallelism and filtering

Dynamic Programming

- the classical solution to approximate string matching
- fill a matrix C column by column where C[i,j] represents the minimum numbers of errors needed to match P_{1..i} to a suffix of T_{1..i}

```
○ C[0,j] = 0
```

```
OC[i,0] = i
```

 $OC[i,j] = if (P_i=T_j) then C[i-1,j-1]$ else 1+min(C[i-1,j],C[i,j-1],C[i-1,j-1]

Automaton

- reduce the problem to a non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA)
- each row of the NFA denotes the number of errors seen
- every column represents matching the pattern up to a giver position

Automaton

- horizontal arrows represent matching a character
- vertical arrows represent insertions into the pattern
- solid diagonal arrows represent replacements
- dashed diagonal arrows represent deletions in the pattern
- the automaton accepts a text position as the end of a match with k errors whenever the (k+1)-th rightmost state is active

Bit-Parallelism

use bit-parallelism to parallelize the computation of the dynamic programming matrix

Filtering

reduce the area of the text where dynamic programming needs to be used by filter the text first

Regular Expressions and Extended Patterns

- build a non-deterministic finite automaton of size O(m)
 - m: the length of the regular expression
- convert this automaton to deterministic form
 - search any regular expression in O(n) time
 - its size and construction time can be exponential in m,
 i.e. O(m2^m)
- bit-parallelism has been proposed to avoid the construction of the deterministic automaton

Pattern Matching Using Indices

- how to extend the indexing techniques for simple searching for more complex patterns
- inverted files:
 - using a sequential search over the vocabulary and merge their lists of occurrences to retrieve a list of documents and the matching text positions

Pattern Matching Using Indices

suffix tree and suffix array:

- if all text positions are indexed, words, prefixes, suffixes and substrings can be searched with the same search algorithm and cost -> 10 to 20 times text size for index
- range queries can be done by searching both extremes in the trie and collects all leaves in the middle

Pattern Matching Using Indices

- regular expression search and unrestricted approximate string matching can be done by sequential searching
- Other complex searches that can be done are: find the longest substring in the text that appears more than once, find the most common substring of a fixed size
- suffix array implementation reduce operation cost from C(n) (on suffix tree) to O(C(n) log n) cost

Structural Queries

The algorithms to search on structured text are dependent on each model

Some considerations are:

how to store the structural information

 build and ad hoc index to store the structure (efficient and independent of the text)

 mark the structure in the text using 'tags' (efficient in many cases, integration into an existing text database is simpler)

Compression

Sequential searching
 Compressed indices

 Inverted files
 Suffix trees and suffix arrays
 Signature files

Sequential Searching

- Huffman coding technique allows directly searching compressed text
- Boyer-Moore filtering can be used to speed up the search in Huffman coding trees
- Phrase searching can be accomplished using the i-th bit mask with the i-th element of the phrase query + Shift-Or algorithm (simple and efficient)

Compressed Indices: Inverted Files

- the lists of occurrences are in increasing order of text position
- the differences between the previous position and the current one can be represented using less space by using techniques that favor small numbers
- the text can also be compressed independently of the index

Compressed Indices: Suffix Trees and Suffix Arrays

- reduction of space requirements -> more expensive searching
- reduced space requirements are similar to those of uncompressed suffix arrays at much smaller performance penalties
- suffix arrays are hard to compress because they represent an almost perfectly random permutation of the pointers to the text

Compressed Indices: Suffix Trees and Suffix Arrays

- building suffix arrays on compressed text:
 - reduce space requirements
 - index construction and querying almost double performance
 - construction is faster because more compressed text fits in the same memory space -> fewer text blocks are needed
- Hu-Tucker coding allows direct binary search over the compressed text

Compressed Indices: Signature Files

- compression techniques are based on the fact that only a few bits are set in the whole file
- possible to use efficient methods to code the bits which are not set (ex. run-length encoding)
- different considerations arise if the file is stored as a sequence of bit masks or with one file per bit of the mask
 - advantages are
 - reduce space and disk times
 - increase B (reduce the false drop probability)

Trends and Research Issues

The main trends in indexing and searching textual database are

- text collections are becoming huge
- searching is becoming more complex
- compression is becoming a star in the field